Community Based RAS Participatory Extension Farmer to Farmer

Kirguistan, September 15th 2015

Marcelo Collao





Overview and Introduction

- Regional study carried out in 2013 regards national RAS
 - ► Public entities have failed to show efficacy and efficiency at family farming level
 - ▶ Lineal approach persists in some national institutions.
- RELASER 2014 Study of alternative models.
 - ► More participative models.
 - Community based RAS.
- Regional study under the model of farmer to farmer RAS.
 - ► Countries involved.
 - Study variable
 - Countries are returning to invest in RAS



General Understanding - Farmer to Farmer

- Appropriated for family farming
- Responds to farmers demands
- Involve the farmers in the services and implementation process
- ► The role of institutions that facilitate participatory processes
- ► The farmers accept very well the knowledges that come from another farmers
 - Cultural and linguistic compatibility
 - ► Farmer leaders are well known and recognized by other farmers
- It is important the involvement of other institutions of territory system
- ► F to F work as voluntarism



- Basic indicators
 - ► Target population in all cases is from low-income families with little access to support services
 - Wide and varied territorial coverage in number of users
 - Coverage has to do with the support institutions
 - ► The average age of the promoters is 45 years and 25% of women participation



- System organization and governance
 - ► Experiences are promoted by the cooperation and NGO's
 - ► Most decisions are taken at local level, tries to involve local institutions and municipalities
 - ▶ In some cases, producer organizations manage the model in order to give services to their farmers
 - ► There is a partnership between the farmers organization and the facilitation institution



- Financing
 - ▶ Origen of the founds come mostly from cooperation
 - ► This model is cheaper than traditional
 - ► Most of the promoters don't receive a salary
 - ▶ In same cases municipalities cover some costs
 - ▶ In same cases promoters sell some services or bio-imputs.



Methodology

▶ Process conducted by the facilitation institution

- ▶ Define with some local institution (producers organization) the outreach of the model
- ► Identification and selection of promoters
- ▶ Capacity building
- ► Monitoring and Evaluation



- Training and skills development
 - ► There is a systematic program of training with technical courses and knowledge exchange with other territories and countries
 - ▶ In some cases have started with a certification of the promoters



► Institutional relations

- ► The model led by government has achieved a greater diversity of institutional relations with more contributions
- ► The more institution relationships the bigger possibility of bbeing more sustainable
- ► In other programs led by NGO's evidenced a weakness to establish agreements especially with research institutions



► Effects and Impacts

- ► Have developed local agents of change (promoters)
- ► The promoters became community leaders
- ► Empowering of farmers organizations
- ► Technological solutions have allowed leaps in productivity
- ► Fostered organic solutions



Strengths and weakness

- Strengths
 - ► Respond better farmers demands
 - ► Reach direct to the farmers
 - Low cost
 - Participatory process reinforce the value of local and ancestral knowledge
 - ► Reinforce the role of farmers organizations
 - ► Foster organic production and environment friendly solutions

Weakness

- Not all the best farmers have the skills or have the willing to share their knowledge
- ▶ Not seen by governments as valid alternative to make extension



Challenges and opportunities

► Implement this model in a local agriculture innovation system

Get founds from governments (local and national)

Change the traditional lineal extension model



Tomorrow's illiterate will not be the man who can't read; he will be the man who has not learned how to unlearn." Alvin Toffler

Thanks